

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,386	07/29/2005	Shiro Torizuka	2005_0660A	6624
513 7590 01/08/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			SAETHER, FLEMMING	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,386	TORIZUKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Flemming Saether	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a requinication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition of closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims	ı	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to be ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Ap of the priority documents have been r nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 20, the last line, it is unclear what is inclusive of the "inevitable impurities".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuhara (US 6,221,179) in view of Saito (US 2004/0112484). Yasuhara discloses a product having an elongate ferrite grain diameter of less than 2 microns (abstract). The grain diameter is achieved at a forming step without refining but that not withstanding; the forming and refining steps are product-by-process limitations. Yasuhara further discloses materials within the claimed range (column 5, second paragraph). While Yasuhara discusses the material being hardened it is silent with regards to a Vickers number. Saito also discloses an ultra fine grain ferrite material but, Saito discloses a Vickers hardness of 240. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the material in Yasuhara to have a Vickers hardness of over 200 as disclosed in Saito because Saito disclose a Vickers

Art Unit: 3677

number of 240 to be advantageous. The raw material being in the form of a bar is irrelevant since the claims are limited to the final product so long as modified Yasuhara is capable of being in the bar it reads on the claims. Alternatively, the "bar or wire" could be interpreted broadly to incorporate any structure disclosed in modified Yasuhara.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments in regards to Yasuhara are not fully understood. Applicant argues in regards to Yasuhara that "[t]here is no disclosure of a steel bar or wire having the same ultra fine ferrite grains as the steel bar or wire of Yasuhara". While confusing, it appears as though applicant is arguing that Yasuhara discloses a steel sheet whereas applicants disclose a steel bar or wire. In response, the claims only claim a formed product there is no requirement that the formed product is a bar or wire. The claimed bar or wire is only claimed as a raw material which, as noted in the above rejection, is largely irrelevant in article claims since it is only the final produce which is considered for patent. The claims nowhere require the final product to be a bar or wire much less a screw, bolt or rivet. Applicant is reminded that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant next argues Saito again only discloses a steel sheet to which no further response is believed necessary in light of the above response. Applicant however,

Art Unit: 3677

further argues that Saito's effective date is June 17, 2004, after the effective date of the instant application. In response, the examiner disagrees because as prior art it is applicable based on its earliest publication date, regardless of language, which for the WIPO document as provided is Oct 3, 2002. It should be noted that the identification number "[43]" is the publication date.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,386

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Flemming Saether
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3677

Page 5